WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.		
Hugo	Gerardo Guzman-Munoz	Case Numbe	r:13-7167m
was present a	and was represented by counsel. I conclude the detention of the defendant pending tria	by a preponderance of	on has been submitted to the Court. Defendant the evidence the defendant is a serious flight
I find by a prep	ponderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _		years imprisonment.
The C Court at the tir	Court incorporates by reference the material me of the hearing in this matter, except as r	findings of the Pretrial noted in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendan No condition or combination of conditions		the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETEN	TION
in a correction pending appea order of a cou facility shall de	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable al. The defendant shall be afforded a reason it of the United States or on request of an abliver the defendant to the United States Ma	e, from persons awaiting conable opportunity for pattorney for the Governmarshal for the purpose o	• •
proceeding.		ND THIRD PARTY REL	
to deliver a co District Court.	py of the motion for review/reconsideration Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., 6	to Pretrial Services at leffective December 1, 2	th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility east one day prior to the hearing set before the 009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days

ity Di from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>5/21/13</u>

Bridget S. Bade United States Magistrate Judge